

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/589,745	07/11/2008	Jordi Relats Manent	27612U	9531
20529 7590 12/22/2010 THE NATH LAW GROUP 112 South West Street			EXAM	IINER
			PATTERSON, MARC A	
Alexandria, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1782	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/22/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
•			
10/589.745	RELATS MANENT ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
MARC A. PATTERSON	1782		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPT 1.139(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed to the communication of the communicatio
Status
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☒ Claim(s) 8 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a), Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/06)	

4)	Interview Summary (PTO-413)
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date
	Notice of Informal Paters Application
6)	Other:

Paper No(s)/Mail Date __

Application/Control Number: 10/589,745 Page 2

Art Unit: 1782

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

 Claim 8 is objected to because of the following informalities: The term 'phenylene' is misspelled 'phenylen.' Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102(b)

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 – 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Russek et al.
 (U.S. Patent No. 5.712.010).

With regard to Claim 1, Russek et al disclose a protective tube (column 1, lines 36 - 39) comprising a plurality of threads that are braided (braided strands; column 2, lines 26 - 30) having a diameter of the cross section of 1 mil (column 2, lines 34 - 36) and a length that is the same length as the tube, as shown in Figure 1, and a tube length of 12 inches (column 4, lines 25 - 28), therefore a length substantially greater than the length of the diameter, which is perpendicular.

With regard to Claim 2, the length is therefore at least 1.5 times greater than the diameter.

With regard to Claims 3-5, the threads are made from a polymeric material comprising polyester or polyamide (nylon; column 2, lines 20-23).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/589,745

Art Unit: 1782

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103(a)

 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6 – 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Russek et al.
 (U.S. Patent No. 5,712,010) in view of Lively (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0066491 A1).

Russek et al disclose a tube comprising threads are discussed above. The tube is thermal insulation (column 1, lines 6 - 8). With regard to Claims 6 - 8, Russek et al fail to disclose threads comprising polyethylene, polypropylene and phenylene polysulphide.

Lively teaches polyethylene, polypropylene, or phenylene polysulphide in the making of threads (fibers; paragraph 0017) that are insulating (paragraph 0017) for the purpose of obtaining threads for insulation of a pipe (paragraph 0003).

It therefore would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide for threads comprising polyethylene, polypropylene and phenylene polysulphide in Russek et al in order to obtain threads for insulation of a pipe as taught by Lively.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
examiner should be directed to Marc A Patterson whose telephone number is 571-272-1497.
 The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

Art Unit: 1782

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Marc A Patterson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1782